1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 9 STEVEN FLOYD VOSS, Case No. 3:15-cv-00183-HDM-VPC 10 Petitioner, 11 VS. **ORDER** ISIDRO BACA, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 15 Before the court are petitioner's motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 32), respondents' opposition (ECF No. 33), and petitioner's reply (ECF No. 34). The court agrees with respondents. 16 17 Petitioner is trying to re-litigate issues that the court already has decided, and a motion for relief 18 from the judgment is not the proper method for that. 19 Reasonable jurists would not find the court's conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and the court will not issue a certificate of appealability. 20 21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 32) is **DENIED**. 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. 23 DATED: August 30, 2017. 24 Howard DM: Killen 25 26 HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN 27 United States District Judge

28